

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT,
8 and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE
9 INTERIOR,
10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-0226-APG-VCF

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION REGARDING
INTERVENTION**

(Dkt. ##12, 30)

11 On May 22, 2014, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach entered his Report and Recommendation
12 (Dkt. #30), recommending that Southern Nevada Water Authority's Unopposed Motion to
13 Intervene as Defendant (#12) be granted. No objection has been filed to that Report and
14 Recommendation. Thus, the Court is not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the Report. 28
15 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions
16 of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-*
17 *Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the
18 magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
19 otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is accepted and
21 Southern Nevada Water Authority's Unopposed Motion to Intervene as Defendant (#12) is
22 GRANTED.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Southern Nevada Water Authority's Answer in
24 Intervention is due ten days after entry of this Order.

25 Dated: June 25, 2014.

26 

27 ANDREW P. GORDON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE